

Secure Rural Schools and Community Self-Determination Act of 2000
Public Law 106-393

Title II Project Application
COOS BAY DISTRICT
Resource Advisory Committee

1. Project Number (Assigned by federal unit): _____

2. Project Name: New River Large Wood	3. County: Coos & N. Curry
4. Project Sponsor: Floras Creek Watershed Council	5. Date: May 22, 2002
6. Sponsors Phone Number: (541) 247-2755	
7. Sponsors E-mail: curswcd@harborside.com	

8. Project Location (attach project area map)

a. 4th Field Watershed Name and HUC #(if known): **see attached map**

b. 5th Field Watershed Name and HUC #(if known):

c. Legal Location: Township **30 S** Range **15W** Section **28,33**

Township ____ Range ____ Section(s)

Township ____ Range ____ Section(s)

Township ____ Range ____ Section(s)

Township ____ Range ____ Section(s)

Township ____ Range ____ Section(s)

Township ____ Range ____ Section(s)

Township ____ Range ____ Section(s)

d. BLM District : Coos Bay

e. BLM Resource Area

f. National Forest

g. Forest Service District

h. State / Private / Other lands involved? ☐ **YES** ☐ No

9. Statement of Project Goals and Objectives: This project would add large wood to a vital coho rearing area, where there is presently little or no large wood. This project is a partnership between local landowners, watershed councils, and the BLM to improve salmon habitat. Goals are to add large wood as appropriate, stabilize eroding banks, and restore riparian function.

10. Project Description: Add large wood as appropriate in lower New River in a series of sites on private ranchers' lands. Large wood provides hiding and rearing cover for young coho and other species that inhabit the New River system.

Title II Project Application
COOS BAY DISTRICT
Resource Advisory Committee

11. Coordination of this project with other related project(s) on adjacent lands?

☐ **YES** ☐ **No** **If yes, then describe:** Large wood is a vital component of the New River system. We have added large wood, stabilized banks, and done planting and fencing above this proposed project in the upper reaches of the Floras / New River watershed. Several tens of thousands of dollars have been invested.

12. How does proposed project meet purposes of the Legislation? [Sec. 203(b)(1)]

- ✓ **Implements stewardship objectives that enhance forest ecosystems.** [Sec. 2(b)]
- ✓ **Restores and improves land health.** [Sec. 2(b)]
- ✓ **Restores water quality.** [Sec. 2(b)]

13. Project Type (check one) [Sec. 203(b)(1)]

- | | |
|--|---|
| <input type="checkbox"/> Road Maintenance [Sec. 2(b)(2)(A)] | <input type="checkbox"/> Trail Maintenance [Sec. 2(b)(2)(A)] |
| <input type="checkbox"/> Road Decommission/Obliteration [Sec. 2(b)(2)(A)] | <input type="checkbox"/> Trail Obliteration [Sec. 2(b)(2)(A)] |
| <input type="checkbox"/> Other Infrastructure Maintenance (specify): [Sec. 2(b)(2)(A)] | |
| <input type="checkbox"/> Soil Productivity Improvement [Sec. 2(b)(2)(B)] | <input type="checkbox"/> Forest Health Improvement [Sec. 2(b)(2)(C)] |
| <input type="checkbox"/> Watershed Restoration & Mntc. [Sec. 2(b)(2)(D)] | <input type="checkbox"/> Wildlife Habitat Restoration [Sec. 2(b)(2)(E)] |
- ✓ **Fish Habitat Restoration [Sec. 2bE]**
- ☐ Reestablish Native Species [Sec. 2(b)(2)(G)]
- ☐ Other Project Type (specify) [Sec. 2(b)(2)]:

14. Measure of Project Accomplishments/Expected Outcomes [Sec. 203(b)(5)]

- | | |
|---|--|
| a. Total Acres: | b. Total Miles: |
| c. No. Structures: 10 large trees | d. Est. People Reached : 50 (with tours)
(for environmental education projects): |
| e. No. Laborer Days: 3 days heavy equip. | |
| f. Other (specify): | |

15. Duration of Project and Estimated Completion Date: [Sec. 203(b)(2)]

Begin: Sept. 02. End project Dec 03. Work done during in-stream permitted season.

16. Target Species Benefited: Coho, chinook, steelhead, cutthroat trout.

Secure Rural Schools and Community Self-Determination Act of 2000
Public Law 106-393

Title II Project Application
COOS BAY DISTRICT
Resource Advisory Committee

17. How will cooperative relationships among people that use federal lands be improved? This section is adjacent to the New River ACEC, and is widely used by recreationists. Increased fish populations will benefit both federal and private lands. This site could be available for tours to show people cooperative restoration work.

18. How is this project in the best public interest? [Sec. 203(b)(7)] **Identify benefits to communities.** Fish utilize both public and private lands in this area for rearing habitat. New River is a unique system that produces many coho, currently listed as a threatened species under ESA. Benefits to communities include payment for whole trees and labor costs, as well as the potential benefits of increasing fish runs to harvestable levels.

19. How does project benefit federal lands/resources? Fish utilize both public and private lands in this area for rearing habitat. New River is a unique system that produces many coho, currently listed as a threatened species under ESA. Fish move freely between public and private land, utilizing the best available habitat, without respect to ownership.

20. Status of Project Planning

- | | | | |
|---|------------------------------|-----------------------------|---|
| a. NEPA Complete: | <input type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> No | |
| If no, give est. date of completion: Dec. 02 | | | |
| c. NMFS Sec. 7 ESA Consultation Complete: | <input type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> No | |
| d. USFWS Sec. 7 ESA Consultation Complete: | <input type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> No | |
| e. Survey & Manage Complete: | <input type="checkbox"/> Yes | <input type="checkbox"/> No | <input type="checkbox"/> Not Applicable |
| f. DSL/ODFW* Permits for In-stream Work Obtained: | <input type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> No | <input type="checkbox"/> Not Applicable |
| g. DSL/COE* 404 Fill/Removal Permit Obtained: | <input type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> No | <input type="checkbox"/> Not Applicable |
| h. SHPO* Concurrence Received: | <input type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> No | <input type="checkbox"/> Not Applicable |
| i. Project Design(s) Completed: | <input type="checkbox"/> Yes | <input type="checkbox"/> | |

* DSL = Dept. of State Lands, ODFW = Oregon Dept. of Fish and Wildlife, COE = Army Corps of Engineers, SHPO = State Historic Preservation Officer

21. Proposed Method(s) of Accomplishment

- ✓ **Contract** ☐Federal Workforce
- ✓ **Volunteers (watershed council members)**
- ☐Other (specify):

22. Will the Project Generate Merchantable Materials? [Sec. 204(e)(3)]

☐Yes ☐No

Secure Rural Schools and Community Self-Determination Act of 2000
Public Law 106-393

Title II Project Application
COOS BAY DISTRICT
Resource Advisory Committee

23. Anticipated Project Costs [Sec. 203(b)(3)]

- a. Total County Title II Funds Requested: \$
- b. Is this a multi-year funding request? ☐Yes ☐No **Perhaps** If yes, then display by fiscal year
- c. FY02 Request
- d. FY03 Request: \$
- e. FY04 Request:
- f. FY05 Request:
- g. FY06 Request:

Table 1. Project Cost Analysis

Item	Column A	Column B	Column C	Column D
	Fed. Agency Appropriated Contribution [Sec. 203(b)(4)]	Requested County Title II Contribution [Sec. 203(b)(4)]	Other Contributions [Sec. 203(b)(4)]	Total Available Funds
24. Project Development				
25. Contracting				
26. Monitoring				
27. Overhead				
28. Total Cost Estimate				

* **Costs:** \$1,000 for BLM time to approve project designs, consult on engineering, complete NEPA, inspect on-site work. 10 large spruce trees (with root wads attached) at \$400 @ = \$4,000 materials. Excavator and heavy equipment time: \$100 per hour X 3 days X 10 hours per day. Felling, hauling, transporting trees, and tree placements according to project designs. \$3,000.

29. Identify Source(s) of Other Funding for Project Identified Above [Sec. 203(b)(4)]

Additional labor for new riparian fence and planting & seeding in project area provided by Floras Creek Watershed Council members. This project is located just at the Coos County – Curry County line, and would be an excellent cooperative demonstration project.

**Title II Project Application
COOS BAY DISTRICT
Resource Advisory Committee**

30. Monitoring Plan [Sec. 203(b)(6)]

- a. What measures or evaluations will be made to determine how well the proposed project meets the desired ecological conditions? [Sec. 203(b)(6)] Who will be responsible for this monitoring item?**

The South Coast Watershed Council, which serves all watershed councils in Curry County, has an on-going monitoring program which evaluates all projects undertaken to determine project effectiveness.

- b. How will the project be evaluated to determine how well the proposed project contributes towards local employment and/or training opportunities, including summer youth jobs programs such as the Youth Conservation Corps? [Sec. 203(b)(6)] Who will be responsible for this monitoring item?** No youth will be employed because this project mostly uses heavy equipment for large tree placement.

- c. What methods and measures of evaluation will be established to determine how well the proposed project improves the use of, or added value to, any products removed from federal lands consistent with the purposes of this Act? [Sec. 203(b)(6) and Sec. 204(e)(3)] Who will be responsible for this monitoring item?** No products removed from or added to federal lands. Regular fish counts conducted by ODFW will help determine if large wood contributes to recovery of fish populations.

- d. Identify total funding needed to carry out specified monitoring tasks (Table 1, item 33)**
Amount: None requested.